Statistical Machine Learning Assignment 1

This homework is due November 24th (Tue.) 11:59 PM

As you can see below, this homework involves both math questions and programming questions.

Send your completed homework in pdf format to marcocuturicameto+report@gmail.com. Please put the word report in the title of your email. The pdf can be a scanned copy of a handwritten assignment (specially if you need to write a lot equations) or a word/latex document exported as pdf (please don't send me a .doc document). Please send me your code in zipped folder that can run independently.

Positive Definite Matrices

A square $n \times n$ matrix A is positive definite if

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d, x \neq 0 \Rightarrow x^T X x > 0.$$

Alternatively, A is said to be positive semi-definite if

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d, x^T X x > 0.$$

- 1. Suppose A is a symmetric matrix. What can you say about its eigenvalues?
- 2. Suppose A is positive definite and symmetric. Prove that all the eigenvalues of A are positive.
- 3. Prove that the sum of two symmetric positive definite matrices $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ is positive definite.
- 4. Prove that if A is symmetric positive definite, then $\det A > 0$ and thus A is invertible. On the contrary, show that if $\det A > 0$, then A is not necessarily positive definite (you just need to provide a counterexample).
- 5. Prove that if A is positive semidefinite and $\lambda > 0$, then $(A + \lambda I)$ is positive definite.
- 6. Prove that if $X \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times n}$ then XX^T and X^TX are both positive semidefinite.
- 7. Prove that if $X \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times n}$ has rank d, then XX^T is positive definite (invertible).
- 8. Let $X \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times n}$ be a matrix, and $Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Prove that $\min_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^d} ||X^T \alpha Y||_2^2 + \lambda ||\alpha||_2^2$ is attained for $\alpha = (XX^T + \lambda I)^{-1}XY$.
- 9. Compare this formula with the formula provided in Lecture 1. What is the advantage of introducing a positive λ parameter in the optimization above?

Least-square and Locally-weighted least-square regression

- Download the wine quality dataset (white wines) available on http://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/Wine+Quality, read its description and import it using your favorite programming language.
- Divide the dataset **randomly** into 2 folds of 1000 and 3898 points respectively. The first fold will be called the **train** fold, the second will be called the **test** fold.
- Scale all variables in both train and test sets, by substracting to each variable its empirical mean and dividing it by the empirical standard deviation. That is, for each variable j ($1 \le j \le 12$) and observation $1 \le i \le 4898$, set

$$x_{ij} \leftarrow \frac{x_{ij} - \mu_j}{\sigma_j},$$

where

$$\mu_j = \frac{1}{1000} \sum_{i \in \text{train}} x_{ij}, \sigma_i = \sqrt{\frac{1}{999} \sum_{i \in \text{train}} (x_{ij} - \mu_j)^2}.$$

(this operation is called "standardizing" the data, using only the training fold.)

• Estimate a vector β and a constant b such that

$$y \approx \beta^T \mathbf{x} + b.$$

where y is the quality of the wine (variable number 12), and \mathbf{x} is the vector of all remaining 11 variables, using the standardized data available in the train fold and least-square regression.

• Compute the average error on the train fold (namely, the average absolute difference between the predicted quality value of the wine and its actual quality). Compute this error on the test fold as well. Compare. Can you interpret which variables have the biggest influence on the quality of the wine?.

Given a train database of points $\{(\mathbf{x}_i, y)\}_{i=1,\dots,n}$ where $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}$, least-square regression finds the minimizer of

$$(\beta_{\star}, b_{\star}) = \underset{\beta, b}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\| y_i - \begin{bmatrix} b & \beta^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \mathbf{x}_i \end{bmatrix} \right\|^2$$

to predict, given a new point \mathbf{x}_{new} , its corresponding predicted variable as $\begin{bmatrix} b_{\star} & \beta_{\star}^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \mathbf{x}_{\text{new}} \end{bmatrix}$. A different technique, called locally-weighted linear locally regression, tries to exploit the similarity of the point we are interested in, \mathbf{x}_{new} , with respect to other points in the database,

$$w_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{similarity}(\mathbf{x}_{\text{new}}, \mathbf{x}_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

by defining instead

$$(\beta_{\sharp}, b_{\sharp}) = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\beta, b} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} \left\| y_{i} - \begin{bmatrix} b & \beta^{T} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \mathbf{x}_{i} \end{bmatrix} \right\|^{2},$$

and using $(\beta_{\sharp}, b_{\sharp})$ to predict the corresponding y variable of \mathbf{x}_{new} as $\begin{bmatrix} b_{\sharp} & \beta_{\sharp}^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \mathbf{x}_{\text{new}} \end{bmatrix}$

• Compute the average error of locally weighted regression on the test fold, assuming

similarity(
$$\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}'$$
) = $e^{-(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}')^T \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}')/2}$,

where Σ is the empirical variance matrix of your train fold, namely

$$\Sigma = \frac{1}{n_{\text{train}} - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{\text{train}}} \left(\mathbf{x}_i - \frac{1}{n_{\text{train}}} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{\text{train}}} \mathbf{x}_j \right) \left(\mathbf{x}_i - \frac{1}{n_{\text{train}}} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{\text{train}}} \mathbf{x}_j \right)^T.$$

In order to do so, you will have to compute a different $(\beta_{\sharp}, b_{\sharp})$ for **each** element of the test fold. Explain how you can compute $(\beta_{\sharp}, b_{\sharp})$.

• What are the advantages/disadvantages of locally-weighted regression compared to standard regression? In which cases do you think locally-weighted regression might work better than regression?